

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR .	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/615,219	07/07/2003	John R. Klug	. 11060.01	8667	
20686 7	7590 12/22/2004	2/2004		EXAMINER	
DORSEY & WHITNEY, LLP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT 370 SEVENTEENTH STREET SUITE 4700 DENVER, CO 80202-5647			NGUYEN, PHUOC H		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2143		
			DATE MAILED: 12/22/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/615,219	KLUG ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit				
		Phuoc H. Nguyen	2143				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)[🛛	1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 July 2003</u> .						
•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	IAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice und	ler <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 4	153 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)□	5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.						
<u> </u>							
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.					
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notic	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
_	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					
S. Patent and Trademark Office							

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 6 objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claims 4 and 5. See MPEP § 608.01(n).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1, 3-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Judson U.S. Patent 5,572,643.
- 4. Regarding claim 1, Judson discloses a system for providing node targeted content in an addressable network (Figure 5), comprising: an access request receipt module (Figure 3, client issues TCP/IP request 76); means for providing information in response to the access request, and means for providing at least one message to be displayed prior to completing display of the information (Figure 3, and col. 5 lines 64 through col. 6 1st paragraph).
- 5. Regarding claim 3, Judson further discloses a first transmission module operative to transmit the information, and a second transmission module operative to transmit the at least one message (for first and second transmission modules are inherently when the user issue the link

request and receive the useful information during the link process) (Figure 3; and col. 5 lines 64 through col. 6 1st paragraph).

Page 3

- 6. Regarding claim 4, Judson further discloses the second transmission module is further operative to transmit the entirety of the base message set prior to the first transmission module transmitting the information (col. 5 lines 66 through col. 6 lines 5).
- 7. Regarding claim 5, Judson further discloses the second transmission module transmits the at least one message during transmission of the information by the first transmission module (col. 5 lines 66 through col. 6 lines 5).
- 8. Regarding claim 6, Judson further discloses the first and second transmission modules are the same (col. 5 lines 66 through col. 6 lines 5).
- 9. Regarding claim 7, Judson further discloses the message is an advertisement (Abstract; col. 2 lines 29-44).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. Claims 2 and 8 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Judson in view of Dedrick U.S. Patent 5,604,542.
- Regarding claim 2, Judson discloses a system for providing at least one message (eg. advertisement) to be displayed prior to completing display of the information; however, Judson fails to teach a user profile containing user information, and a base message set from which the at

least one message is chosen, wherein the choice of the message is based on the user information;

and the user information is specified by a user, the user profile is stored in a database, and the

database is located at a second site of the addressable.

Dedrick teaches a system contains a database that contains user profile data of the end

users and the user profile data can be used to associate an advertisement with a particular end

user (Figure 6; and col. 3 last paragraph through col. 4 1st paragraph).

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time of the

invention was made to incorporate Dedrick's teaching into Judson's system to correlate user

profile of the end user to provide the electronic advertisement to a targeted end user.

Conclusion

13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

Wilkins U.S. Patent 5,446,919

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Phuoc H. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-3919.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mon -Thu (7AM-4: 30PM) and off every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, David A Wiley can be reached on 571-272-3923. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/615,219

Art Unit: 2143

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Phuoc H. Nguyen Examiner Art Unit 2143 Page 5

December 10, 2004

DAVID WILEY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100